

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 IN RE HELLER EHRLMAN LLP,  
12 Liquidating Debtor.

11 No. CV 13-2192 CRB

**13 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
14 LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY  
15 APPEAL**

16 HELLER EHRLMAN LLP

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
20 LLP,

Defendant.

21 This case stems from the dissolution of the law firm Heller Ehrman LLP (“Heller”).  
22 Defendant seeks leave from this Court to appeal from the Bankruptcy Court’s interlocutory  
23 summary judgment ruling on a fraudulent transfer claim brought by Heller’s plan  
24 administrator. Having considered whether the appeal presents a controlling question of law  
25 as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and whether an immediate  
26 appeal would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, see 28

27 //

28 //

//

1 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3); In re Belli, 268 B.R. 851, 858 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001); Oliner v.  
2 Kontrabecki, 305 B.R. 510, 527 (N.D. Cal. 2004), the Court DENIES the motion.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: May 20, 2013

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CHARLES R. BREYER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE